1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	PETER M. GALLIGAN,	
4	Plaintiff, Case No. 17 CV 6310	
5	, , , , , , , , , ,	
6	VS.	
7	ADTALEM GLOBAL EDUCATION,) Chicago, Illinois INC.; DEVRY MEDICAL) January 28, 2020 INTERNATIONAL, INC.; ROSS) 11:00 AM	
8	INTERNATIONAL, INC.; ROSS) 11:00 ÅM (UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF) VETERINARY MEDICINE; AND) DOES 1 THROUGH 50,	
10	Defendants.	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Status and Motion	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN H. LEFKOW	
13	APPEARANCES:	
14	For the Plaintiff: LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL W. FORD BY: MR. MICHAEL W. FORD	
15	4 Timberwood Lane Riverwoods, Illinois 60015-2400	
16		
17	For the Defendant: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: MR. ANDREW R. COCKROFT	
18	233 South Wacker Drive Suite 8000	
19	Chicago, Illinois 60606	
20		
21		
22	Count Depoint on CANDDA M MULLIN CCD DMD CCDD	
23	Court Reporter: SANDRA M. MULLIN, CSR, RMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter	
24	219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 226 Chicago, Illinois 60604	J
25	(312) 554-8244 sandra_mullin@ilnd.uscourts.gov	

1 (Proceedings heard in open court:) THE CLERK: 17 C 6310, Galligan versus Adtalem Global 2 3 Education. 4 MR. FORD: Adtalem. Good morning, your Honor. Michael Ford for the plaintiff, Peter Galligan. 5 6 THE COURT: Good morning. 7 MR. COCKROFT: Good morning, your Honor. Andrew Cockroft on behalf of defendants. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. FORD: I have a piece of news to relate, to begin 11 with. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. FORD: In view of the fact we may be discussing venue, the plaintiff, Peter Galligan, no longer lives in 14 15 California. He has moved to Texas. He now resides in the 16 Fort Worth/Dallas area. I just learned that recently. So 17 that's a bit of news. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So how does that affect our 19 jurisdictional issue. They're still diverse; right? 20 I would say that if the court is going to MR. FORD: transfer the case, it should go to Texas. 21 22 THE COURT: To Texas. 23 MR. FORD: Not to California. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Which district in Texas? 25 MR. FORD: Beg your pardon?

1 THE COURT: Which district in Texas? 2 MR. FORD: That's -- Dallas/Ft. Worth, I'd have to 3 look and see what district that is. 4 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's Northern, but we can 5 look it up. So what's the -- are you here for all defendants? 6 MR. COCKROFT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. What's your position on this? 8 MR. COCKROFT: Our position is that the proper forum 9 is in St. Kitts, and we'd ask for the opportunity to move to 10 dismiss on forum non conveniens grounds, given that the alleged 11 conduct that gave rise to the only remaining claim in this 12 case, the breach of contract claim, occurred in St. Kitts. We 13 believe that St. Kitts has the strongest local interest in the 14 case. 15 MR. FORD: May I respond? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. FORD: It's a fact that all of the people who had 18 contact with Peter Galligan when he was in St. Kitts are no 19 longer with Ross School of Veterinarian Medicine, as far as we 20 can determine. The dean, the dean of students, the school 21 psychologist, all these people are no longer with the school. 22 They're not in St. Kitts. There is probably not one single 23 witness down there that would testify, you know, as to anything that happened in the case. 24

THE COURT: Well, although we did allow a claim to

25

proceed, it seems like this is a fairly, if you don't mind my saying so, a fairly weak claim. So how much are you -- is your client willing to spend on --

MR. FORD: We don't know. It kind of depends. We, of course, would like to discuss settlement with defendants and perhaps have a conference. But, so far, there has been no actual exchange of demand or response. If the court would see fit to keep the case here, it would not be a lengthy trial. Well, I can't say. All cases take a while to try.

So another point is that we're applying United States law, too, to this case, not St. Kitts' law. So there is really no reason to go down to St. Kitts and it's kind of a hardship to do so.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay. Well, let me think about it. Do you have anything else to add?

MR. COCKROFT: No, your Honor. Defendant is certainly willing to discuss settlement, but as plaintiff's counsel stated, no demand has been made at this time.

On the issue of which law would apply, because the action -- the alleged conduct that took place and the contract took place in St. Kitts, we believe that there is an issue of which law would apply and not necessarily that US law would apply.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you two discuss the possibility of settlement. We have -- you could ask for

the assistance of the magistrate judge, or, you know. So why 1 2 don't I put this over a week, and you talk. And if it seems 3 like you're willing to discuss settlement, if you're both in the same ballpark, we might -- that might change the way I feel 4 about it. 5 Never hurts to talk about it. Okay. MR. FORD: 6 THE COURT: Okay? All right. I think that's all we 7 8 need to talk about today. 9 MR. COCKROFT: Thank you, your Honor. 10 MR. FORD: Thank you. 11 (Which were all the proceedings heard.) 12 CERTIFICATE 13 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from 14 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 15 16 February 20, 2020 /s/ *SANDRA M. MULLIN* 17 SANDRA M. MULLIN, CSR, RMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25